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There will be no obvious connections of my talk to representations of simple finite groups.

On the other hand, there are only few established examples of commutative nonassociative algebras with nice fusion rules. There are some further common properties and features.

Is this mere coincidence?
(1) Some important questions and motivations
(2) Fusion rules for algebras of cubic minimal cones: a summary
(3) $\frac{1}{2}$ in the spectrum of metrized algebras
(4) $\frac{1}{2}$ in algebras with identities
(5) Algebras with associating bilinear form
(6) Algebras of minimal cones

My talk is dedicated to the memory of Sergei Natanovich Bernstein, 1880-1968.

## S.N. Bernstein (1880-1968)

A Russian and Soviet mathematician (doctoral dissertation, submitted in 1904 to the Sorbonne under supervision of Emil Picard and David Hilbert), known for contributions to partial differential equations, differential geometry, probability theory, and approximation theory:

1904 solved Hilbert's 19th problem (a $C^{3}$-solution of a nonlinear elliptic analytic equation in 2 variables is analytic)

1910s introduced a priori estimates for Dirichlet's boundary problem for non-linear equations of elliptic type

1912 laid the foundations of constructive function theory (Bernstein's theorem in approximation theory, Bernstein's polynomial).

1915 the famous 'Bernstein's Theorem' on entire solutions of minimal surface equation.

1917 the first axiomatic foundation of probability theory, based on the underlying algebraic structure (later superseded by the measure-theoretic approach of Kolmogorov)

1924 introduced a method for proving limit theorems for sums of dependent random variables

1923 axiomatic foundation of a theory of heredity: genetic algebras (Bernstein algebras): $x^{2} x^{2}=\omega(x)^{2} x^{2}$

## Some important questions

How incident (important, relevant) that the certain commutative non-associative algebraic structures coming from a) finite simple groups, b) geometry of minimal cones, c) PDEs (truly viscosity solutions)

- have a distinguished Peirce spectrum
- have distinguished (in particular, graded) fusion rules
- are axial (generated by 'good' idempotents)
- are metrized (i.e. carrying an associating symmetric bilinear form)
- satisfy certain restrictions like the Norton inequality
- etc

One possible point of view is to put these algebras in a broader context of general non-associative metrized algebras with 'small' Peirce spectrum.

## What is this all about?

A minimal surface (in a wider sense, a string) is a critical point of the area functional. Geometrically, this means that the mean curvature $=0$. If $x_{n+1}=u(x)$ is a minimal graph over $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ then

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(1+|D u|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} D u=0
$$

Bernstein's theorem (1915): $u$ is an affine function $n=2$. The result is still true for $n \leq 7$ (Almgren, De Giorgi, Simons) but it
 fails for $n=8$ (Bombieri-de Giorgi-Giusti).
A minimal cone is a typical singularity of a minimal surface. All known minimal cones are algebraic, i.e. zero level sets of a homogeneous polynomial $u \in \mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ :

- the Clifford-Simons cone, $u(x):=\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}\right)-\left(x_{5}^{2}+x_{6}^{2}+x_{7}^{2}+x_{8}^{2}\right)$ (the norm for split octonions).
- The triality polynomials $\operatorname{Re}\left(\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right) z_{3}\right), z_{i} \in \mathbb{K}_{d}, d=1,2,4,8$ are examples of cubic minimal cones in $\mathbb{R}^{3 d}$.
- The generic norm on the trace free subspace of the cubic Jordan algebra $\mathscr{H}_{3}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{K}_{d}\right)$

> Problem: How to characterize algebraic minimal cones?

## Hsiang minimal cones

W.-Y. Hsiang (J. Diff. Geometry, 1, 1967): Let $u$ be a homogeneous polynomial in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then $u^{-1}(0)$ is a minimal cone iff

$$
\left.\Delta_{1} u:=|D u|^{2} \Delta u-\left.\frac{1}{2}\langle D u, D| D u\right|^{2}\right\rangle \equiv 0 \quad \bmod u
$$

- In $\operatorname{deg}=2:\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+m}:(m-1)|x|^{2}=(k-1)|y|^{2}\right\}$
- The first non-trivial case: $\operatorname{deg} u=3$ and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{1} u=\text { a quadratic form } \cdot u(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- In fact, all known irreducible cubic minimal cones satisfy very special equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{1} u=\lambda|x|^{2} \cdot u(x) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hsiang problem: Classify all cubic polynomial solutions of (2).
A homogeneous cubic solution of $(1)$ is called a Hsiang cubic.

## Some explicit examples of Hsiang cubics

- $u=\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right) z_{3}, z_{i} \in \mathbb{A}_{d}, d=1,2,4,8$, the triality polynomials in $\mathbb{R}^{3 d}$ where

$$
\mathbb{A}_{1}=\mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbb{A}_{2}=\mathbb{C}, \quad \mathbb{A}_{4}=\mathbb{H}, \quad \mathbb{A}_{8}=\mathbb{O}
$$

are the classical Hurwitz algebras. The example with $d=1$ also appears as Example 2 below.

- $u(x)=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} x_{1}+x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4} \\ x_{2} & \frac{-2}{\sqrt{3}} x_{1} & x_{5} \\ x_{4} & x_{5} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} x_{1}-x_{2}\end{array}\right|=$ a Cartan isoparametric cubic in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$ It is the generic norm in the Jordan algebra of $3 \times 3$ symmetric matrices over $\mathbb{R}$
- $u(x)=\left|\begin{array}{lll}x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} \\ x_{4} & x_{5} & x_{6} \\ x_{7} & x_{8} & x_{9}\end{array}\right|$
(equivalently, the generic norm in the Jordan algebra of $4 \times 4$ symmetric traceless matrices over $\mathbb{R}$ )

Thus, Hsiang cubics are nicely encoded by certain algebraic structures. Which ones?

## Nonassociative algebras and singular solutions

Evans, Crandall, Lions: Let $B$ be the unit ball, $\phi$ continuous on $\partial B, F$ uniformly elliptic operator. Then the Dirichlet problem $F\left(D^{2} u\right)=0$ in $B, u=\phi$ on $\partial B$ has a unique viscosity solution $u$ which is continuous in $B$.

- Nirenberg, 50's: if $n=2$ then $u$ is classical ( $C^{2}$ ) solution (Abel Prize, 2015)
- Krylov-Safonov, Trudinger, Caffarelli, early 80 's: the solution is always $C^{1, \varepsilon}$ A problem of crucial importance is when a viscousity solution is a classical solution. Nadirashvili, Vlǎduț, 2007-2011: if $n \geq 12$ then there are solutions which are not $C^{2}$.


## Theorem (N. Nadirashvili, V.T., S. Vlăduț, Adv. Math. 2012)

The function $w(x):=\frac{u_{1}(x)}{|x|}$ where $u_{1}$ is the Cartan isoparametric cubic

$$
u_{1}(x)=x_{5}^{3}+\frac{3}{2} x_{5}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}-2 x_{3}^{2}-2 x_{4}^{2}\right)+\frac{3 \sqrt{3}}{2} x_{4}\left(x_{2}^{2}-x_{1}^{2}\right)+3 \sqrt{3} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3},
$$

is a singular viscosity solution of the uniformly elliptic Hessian equation

$$
(\Delta w)^{5}+2^{8} 3^{2}(\Delta w)^{3}+2^{12} 3^{5} \Delta w+2^{15} \operatorname{det} D^{2}(w)=0
$$

## How it works (on algebraic level)



Further reading on both analytic and algebraic account is here:
N. Nadirashvili, V.T., S. Vlăduț, Nonlinear elliptic equations and nonassociative algebras, Math. Surveys and Monographs, v. 200, AMS, 2015.

## Notations

- $V$ denotes a commutative nonassociative algebra with multiplication denoted by juxtaposition;
- $L_{v}: x \rightarrow v x$ is the multiplication operator (also denoted as $\mathrm{ad}_{v}$ )
- $c \in V$ is called an idempotent if $c^{2}=c$;
- $\sigma(c)=$ the spectrum of $L_{c}$;
- $c$ is called semi-simple if $V$ is the direct sum of (simple) $L_{c}$-invariant subspaces
- a symmetric bilinear form $\langle$,$\rangle on V$ is associating if $\langle x y, z\rangle=\langle x, y z\rangle$
- $V$ is metrized if it carries a symmetric associating bilinear form ( $\approx$ Frobenius)
- If $V$ is metrized then $L_{c}$ is self-adjoint. In particular, all idempotents in $V$ are semi-simple.


## Why $\frac{1}{2}$ ?

The eigenvalues 1,0 and $\frac{1}{2}$ are very distinguished:

- Power-associative algebras, $x^{2} x^{2}=x x^{3}$ and Jordan algebras, $x^{2}(x y)=x\left(x^{2} y\right)$ :

$$
\sigma(c) \subset\left\{0,1, \frac{1}{2}\right\}
$$

- certain axial algebras
- pseudocomposition algebras, i.e. $x^{3}=b(x) x: \sigma(c)=\left\{1,-1, \frac{1}{2}\right\}$, always primitive
- nonassociative rank 3 algebras, i.e. $x^{3}=a(x) x^{2}+b(x) x$ :

$$
\sigma(c)=\left\{1,-b(c), \frac{1}{2}\right\}, \quad \text { always primitive }
$$

- Algebras of cubic minimal cones,

$$
4 x x^{3}+x^{2} x^{2}-3\langle x, x\rangle x^{2}-2\left\langle x^{2}, x\right\rangle x=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{tr} L_{x}=0
$$

then $\sigma(c) \subset\left\{-1,-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right\}$, always primitive.

- Bernstein algebras $x^{2} x^{2}=\omega(x)^{2} x^{2}, \sigma(c)=\left\{1,0, \frac{1}{2}\right\}$, always primitive All the above algebras have nice fusion rules (some are $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-graded)


## Fusion rules for algebras of cubic minimal cones

| $\star$ | 1 | -1 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | -1 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| -1 |  | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$ |
| $-\frac{1}{2}$ |  |  | $1,-\frac{1}{2}$ | $-1, \frac{1}{2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ |  |  |  | $1,-1,-\frac{1}{2}$ |

- Not $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-graded in general
- Two distinguished subalgebras: $V_{c}(1) \oplus V_{c}(-1)$ (carries a hidden Clifford algebra structure) and $V_{c}(1) \oplus V_{c}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ (carries a hidden rank 3 Jordan algebra structure)
- Primitive idempotents $w$ in the hidden Jordan algebra ( $w \bullet w=w$ ) are exactly 2-nilpotents in $V\left(w^{2}=w\right)$ with the fusion rules

| $\star$ | $0^{\prime}$ | -1 | 1 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0^{\prime}$ | $-1,1$ | $0^{\prime \prime}, 1$ | $0^{\prime \prime},-1$ |
| -1 |  | $0^{\prime}$ | $0^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 1 |  |  | $0^{\prime}$ |$\quad\left(0=0^{\prime} \oplus 0^{\prime \prime}, 0^{\prime}=\operatorname{Span}(w)\right)$

## Fusion rules for algebras of cubic minimal cones

However, it turns out that the fusion rules are $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-graded a posteriori. Let $V=V^{0} \oplus V^{1}$ be the standard $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-grading. Let

$$
n_{1}=\operatorname{dim} V_{c}(-1), \quad n_{2}=\operatorname{dim} V_{c}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

Then

- if $V$ is polar ('Clifford type'), see Definition 5 below, then $V^{0} V^{0}=0$; in this case $V^{0}$ is an isomorphic image of $V_{c}(1) \oplus V_{c}(-1)$.
- if $n_{2}=0$ then $V^{0}=V_{c}(1) \oplus V_{c}(-1)$ and $V^{1}=V_{c}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$
- if $n_{1}=0$ then $V^{0}=V_{c}(1) \oplus V_{c}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $V^{1}=V_{c}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$
- if $n_{1}=1$ then $V^{0}=V_{c}(1) \oplus V_{c}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $V^{1}=V_{c}(-1) \oplus V_{c}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$
- if $n_{1}=4$ then $n_{2}=5$ (the algebra $V$ has dimension 21 and comes from the Albert exceptional Jordan algebra) then grading is explicit but more subtle


## Two basic examples in dimensions 2 and 3

Example 1. Let $V$ be the 2 dimensional algebra generated by three idempotents $c_{i}$, $i=0,1,2$ which can be realized as unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ subject to the conditions:

- $\left\langle c_{i}, c_{j}\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{2}, i \neq j$,
- $c_{0}+c_{1}+c_{2}=0$

Then for any triple $\{i, j, k\}=\{1,2,3\}$ we have

$$
c_{k}=c_{k}^{2}=\left(-c_{i}-c_{j}\right)^{2}=c_{i}+c_{j}+2 c_{i} c_{j}=-c_{k}+2 c_{i} c_{j}
$$


hence $c_{i} c_{j}=c_{k}$ and $c_{k}\left(c_{i}-c_{j}\right)=-\left(c_{i}-c_{j}\right)$. This implies $V=V_{c_{i}}(1) \oplus V_{c_{i}}(-1)$, the both Peirce subspaces being 1-dimensional. The corresponding fusion rules are

| $\star$ | 1 | -1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | -1 |
| -1 |  | 1 |

The Peirce dimensions are $n_{1}=1, n_{2}=n_{3}=0$, the ambient dimension $n=2$.
The minimal cone is given by $x_{1}^{2} x_{2}=0$, i.e. pair of two orthogonal planes in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$

## Two basic examples in dimensions 2 and 3

Example 2. Similarly, let $V$ be the 3 dimensional algebra generated by four idempotents $c_{i}, i=0,1,2,3$ realized as unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ subject to the conditions:

- $c_{i}+c_{j}$ is a 2-nilpotent, i.e. $\left(c_{i}+c_{j}\right)^{2}=0(i \neq j)$

Then similarly to the above, one easily verifies that

$$
V=V_{c_{i}}(1) \oplus V_{c_{i}}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{dim} V_{c_{i}}(1)=1$ and $\operatorname{dim} V_{c_{i}}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)=n_{2}=2$.


The corresponding fusion rules are

| $\star$ | 1 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $-\frac{1}{2}$ |  | $1,-\frac{1}{2}$ |

The underlying algebra structure after a 1-rank perturbation becomes a Jordan algebra of Clifford type. The minimal cone is given by $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}=0$, i.e. the triple of coordinate planes in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

## $\frac{1}{2}$ in the spectrum of metrized algebras

Let $V$ be a commutative metrized algebra over $\mathbb{R}$ with positive definite associating form $\langle x, y\rangle$. Then
(a) the set of idempotents of $V$ is nonempty

Proof. Let $x$ be a stationary point of $f(x)=\left\langle x, x^{2}\right\rangle$ on the unit sphere $\langle x, x\rangle=1$ (obviously a nonempty set). By Lagrange's principle, $\nabla\left\langle x, x^{2}\right\rangle=3 x^{2}$ and $\nabla\langle x, x\rangle=2 x$ must be proportional $\Rightarrow$ :

$$
x^{2}=k x \quad \Rightarrow \quad c^{2}=c, \quad \text { where } c:=\frac{x}{\left\langle x, x^{2}\right\rangle} \text { is an idempotent! }
$$

(b) if $c$ is an extremal idempotent then it is primitive. In fact, the spectrum of $L_{c}: x \rightarrow c x$ on the orthogonal complement $c^{\perp}$ is a subset of $\left(-\infty, \frac{1}{2}\right]$
Proof. Consider variation of $\left\langle x, x^{2}\right\rangle$, with $x=x_{0}+y$ and $y \perp x_{0}$.
(c) if $c$ is an idempotent with the smallest length then $V_{c}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) V_{c}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \subset V_{c}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\perp}$.
(d) if all idempotents $c$ have the same length and $\frac{1}{2} \in \sigma(c)$ then the $\frac{1}{2}$-fusion rule holds!

## $\frac{1}{2}$ in algebras with identities

Let a commutative algebra $V$ satisfy an identity of the kind

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}(x) x^{\alpha}=0, \quad x^{\alpha} \in N(x) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
N(x)=\left\{x^{\alpha}: x, x^{2}, x^{3}, x^{2} x^{2}, x x^{3}, x^{2} x^{3}, x\left(x^{2} x^{2}\right), \ldots\right\}
$$

is the commutative groupoid generated by $x$.

## Theorem A.

Let $V$ be a commutative algebra satisfying identity (3) and let $c$ be a nonzero idempotent in $V$. Then $\frac{1}{2} \in \sigma(c)$ in the sense that $\frac{1}{2}$ is a root of the characteristic polynomial of the linearization of (3).

## $\frac{1}{2}$ in algebras with identities

Proof by linearization: given a NA polynomial $f(x)$ in $x$, there exists a unique endomorphism $D f(x): V \rightarrow V$ such that

$$
f(x+\epsilon y) \equiv f(x)+D f(x)(y) \epsilon \quad \bmod \epsilon^{2}
$$

Similarly, given a homogeneous function $\phi: V \rightarrow K$, there exists a unique linear form $D \phi(x) \in V^{*}$ such that

$$
\phi(x+\epsilon y) \equiv \phi(x)+D \phi(x)(y) \epsilon \quad \bmod \epsilon^{2}
$$

We also have

$$
D(\phi f)=\phi D f+f \otimes D \phi
$$

where

$$
(a \otimes b)(y)=a \cdot b(y), \quad a \in V, b \in V^{*}
$$

Example 1. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x+\epsilon y)^{2} \equiv x^{2}+2 x y \epsilon \bmod \epsilon^{2} & & \Rightarrow D\left(x^{2}\right)=2 L_{x} \\
(x+\epsilon y)^{3} \equiv x^{3}+\left(x^{2} y+2 x(x y)\right) \epsilon \bmod \epsilon^{2} & & \Rightarrow D\left(x^{3}\right)=L_{x^{2}}+2 L_{x}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $\frac{1}{2}$ in algebras with identities

Now, let $c \neq 0$ be an idempotent. Then $c^{\alpha}=c$ yields

$$
\sum_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}(c)=0
$$

Also, the linearization followed by substitution $x=c$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}(x) D\left(x^{\alpha}\right)+x^{\alpha} \otimes D\left(\phi_{\alpha}\right)=0 \\
& \sum_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}(c) P_{x^{\alpha}}\left(L_{c}\right)=c \otimes \Phi, \quad \Phi \in V^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P_{x^{\alpha}}\left(L_{c}\right)=\left.D\left(x^{\alpha}\right)\right|_{x=c}$ is a polynomial in $L_{c}$. For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left(x^{3}\right) & =L_{x^{2}}+2 L_{x}^{3} \quad \Rightarrow \\
\left.D\left(x^{3}\right)\right|_{x=c} & =L_{c}+2 L_{c}^{2} \quad \Rightarrow \\
P_{x^{3}}(t) & =2 t^{2}+t .
\end{aligned}
$$

## $\frac{1}{2}$ in algebras with identities

Some characteristic polynomials (note that $D\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$ are very complicated but $P_{x^{\alpha}}(t)$ not):

| $x^{\alpha}$ | $D\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$ | $P_{x^{\alpha}}(t)$ | $P_{x^{\alpha}}(1)$ | $P_{x^{\alpha}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $x^{2}$ | $2 L_{x}$ | $2 t$ | 2 | 1 |
| $x^{3}$ | $L_{x^{2}}+2 L_{x}^{2}$ | $2 t^{2}+t$ | 3 | 1 |
| $x^{4}$ | $L_{x^{3}}+L_{x} L_{x^{2}}+2 L_{x}^{3}$ | $2 t^{3}+t^{2}+t$ | 4 | 1 |
| $x^{2} x^{2}$ | $4 L_{x^{2}} L_{x}$ | $4 t^{2}$ | 4 | 1 |
| $x^{5}$ | $L_{x^{4}}+L_{x} L_{x^{3}}+L_{x}^{2} L_{x^{2}}+2 L_{x}^{4}$ | $2 t^{4}+t^{3}+t^{2}+t$ | 4 | 1 |

- In particular, $P_{z}(1)=\operatorname{deg} z$.
- A NA groupoid of characteristic polynomials generated by $P_{x}=1$ by virtue of

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left(x^{\alpha} x^{\beta}\right) & =L_{x^{\alpha}} D\left(x^{\beta}\right)+L_{x^{\beta}} D\left(x^{\alpha}\right), \quad \text { i.e. } \\
P_{x^{\alpha} x^{\beta}} & =t\left(P_{x^{\alpha}}+P_{x^{\beta}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- This in particular yields (by induction on $\operatorname{deg} x^{\alpha}$ ) that

$$
P_{x^{\alpha} x^{\beta}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{x^{\alpha}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)+P_{x^{\beta}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2}(1+1)=1
$$

## $\frac{1}{2}$ in algebras with identities

Return to the identity:

$$
\sum_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}(c) P_{x^{\alpha}}\left(L_{c}\right)=c \otimes \Phi
$$

If $L_{c} y=\lambda y$ and $y \notin K c$ this yields

$$
\chi_{c}(\lambda):=\sum_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}(c) P_{x^{\alpha}}(\lambda)=0
$$

Since $\sum_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}(c)=0$ and $P_{x^{\alpha}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=1$ we conclude that

$$
\chi_{c}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2} \in \sigma(c)
$$

How to connect nonassociative algebras to PDEs?

## Commutative metrized algebras

Let $A$ be a commutative $K$-algebra on $V$. A $K$-bilinear symmetric form $Q$ on a vector space $V$ is called associating if

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(x, y) & =0 \quad \forall y \in V \quad \Rightarrow \quad x=0 \\
Q(x y, z) & =Q(x, y z) \quad \forall x, y, z \in V
\end{aligned}
$$

An algebra $(A, Q)$ is called metrized if $Q$ is associating. In that case we have

$$
L_{y}^{*}=L_{y} \quad \text { for all } y \in V
$$

In particular, there holds the Peirce decomposition

$$
V=\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \sigma\left(L_{y}\right)} V_{y}(\lambda)
$$

## Examples:

- a full matrix algebra with its trace $Q(x, y)=\operatorname{tr} x y$
- a real semisimple Lie algebra with its Killing form $Q(a, b)=\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{ad}_{a} \mathrm{ad}_{b}$
- a real semisimple Jordan algebra with its trace form $Q(a, b)=\operatorname{tr} a b$

In what follows $Q(x, y)=\langle x, y\rangle$.

## Commutative metrized algebras

In this setting, the study of $V$ is essentially equivalent to study of the cubic form

$$
N(x):=\frac{1}{6}\langle x x, x\rangle=\frac{1}{6}\left\langle x^{2}, x\right\rangle
$$

Then the (commutative) multiplication structure is recovered by linearization:
$\langle x y, z\rangle=N(x, y, z)=N(x+y+z)-N(x+y)-N(x+z)-N(y+z)+N(x)+N(y)+N(z)$.
Conversely, if $N(x)$ is a cubic form on an inner product space $(V,\langle\rangle$,$) then the$ multiplication is uniquely determined and turns $V$ into a commutative metrized algebra.

While a CMA is not power associative in general $\left(x^{2} x^{2} \neq x^{3} x\right)$, the moments of $x$ of order $\leq 5$ are well defined:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle x^{2}, x^{2}\right\rangle & =\left\langle x, x^{3}\right\rangle \\
\left\langle x, x^{2} x^{2}\right\rangle & =\left\langle x^{2}, x^{3}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

## Commutative metrized algebras

Let $K=\mathbb{R},(V,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ be an inner product vector space and $u(x)$ be a cubic form $V$. Denote by $V=\operatorname{CMA}(u)$ the corresponding metrized algebra, i.e.

$$
u(x, y, z)=\langle x y, z\rangle, \quad \forall z \in V
$$

In this setting,

- $u(x)=\frac{1}{6}\left\langle x, x^{2}\right\rangle$
- $D u(x)=\frac{1}{2} x^{2}$
- $x y=\left(D^{2} u(x)\right) y$, or $L_{x}=D^{2} u(x)$
i.e. the (left) multiplication operator by $x$ is the Hessian of $u$ at $x$
- $L_{x}$ is self-adjoint: $\left\langle L_{x} y, z\right\rangle=\left\langle y, L_{x} z\right\rangle$
- If $\langle$,$\rangle is positive definite then the set of idempotents of V$ is nonempty.
a cubic form $u+$ a PDE $=$ a metrized algebra $V(u)$ with a defining identity


## Examples

- A trivial example. $V=\mathbb{R}^{1}$ with $\langle x, y\rangle=x y$ and $u(x)=\frac{1}{6} x^{3}$. One has

$$
u(x ; y, z)=\partial_{z} \partial_{y} u(x)=x y z, \quad \Rightarrow \quad x \bullet y=x y
$$

therefore $\bullet$ is the usual multiplication.

- A less trivial example (a Jordan spin-factor) Let $V=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with the standard Euclidean inner product $\langle x, y\rangle$. Let $u(x)=\frac{1}{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}$. Then

$$
u(x ; y ; z)=x_{2} y_{1} z_{1}+x_{1} y_{2} z_{1}+x_{1} y_{1} z_{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad x \bullet y=\left(x_{1} y_{2}+x_{2} y_{1}, x_{1} y_{1}\right)
$$

- A non-trivial example (H. Freudenthal 1954, T. Springer 1961) Let
$V=\mathscr{H}_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}_{d}\right)$ be the vector space of self-adjoint $3 \times 3$-matrices with coefficients in a normed division algebra $\mathbb{F}_{d}$ and

$$
u(x)=\operatorname{Det}(x):=\frac{1}{6}\left((\operatorname{tr} x)^{3}-3 \operatorname{tr} x \operatorname{tr} x^{2}+2 \operatorname{tr} x^{3}\right)
$$

Then $V(u)$ is a Jordan algebra w.r.t. the multiplication

$$
x \bullet y=\frac{1}{2}(x y+y x)
$$

## Two basic examples

(A) The Cartan-Münzner equations (describe isoparametric hypersurfaces with $g=3$ distinct principal curvatures):

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { r l } 
{ | D u ( x ) | ^ { 2 } } & { = 9 | x | ^ { 4 } } \\
{ \Delta u ( x ) } & { = 0 }
\end{array} \Longrightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{rl}
\left\langle x^{2}, x^{2}\right\rangle & =36|x|^{4} \\
\operatorname{tr} L_{x} & =0, \quad \forall x \in V
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

(B) Hsiang (1967) asked to classify all cubic homogeneous solutions of

$$
\begin{equation*}
|D u|^{2} \Delta u-\frac{1}{2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla|\nabla u|^{2}=\lambda|x|^{2} u \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation asserts that the cone $u^{-1}(0)$ has zero mean curvature in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

$$
\Longrightarrow\left\{\begin{aligned}
\left\langle x^{2}, x^{3}\right\rangle & =\left\langle x, x^{2}\right\rangle|x|^{2} \\
\operatorname{tr} L_{x} & =0 \quad \text { (a nontrivial implication) }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

## How to connect Cartan-Münzner eqs with Jordan algebras

Given a cubic form $u: V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, consider its linearizations

- $u(x, y, z)=u(x+y+z)-u(x+y)-u(x+z)-u(y+z)+u(x)+u(y)+u(z)$
- $\partial_{y} u(x)=u(x ; y)=\frac{1}{2} u(x, x, y)$


## The Springer Construction (McCrimmon, 1969)

A cubic form $N: V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, N(e)=1$, is called a admissible if the bilinear form

$$
T(x ; y)=N(e ; x) N(e ; y)-N(e ; x ; y)
$$

is a nondegenerate and the map $\#: V \rightarrow V$ uniquely determined by $T\left(x^{\#} ; y\right)=N(x ; y)$ satisfies the adjoint identity

$$
\left(x^{\#}\right)^{\#}=N(x) x .
$$

If $N$ is Jordan and $x \# y=(x+y)^{\#}-x^{\#}-y^{\#}$ then

$$
x \bullet y=\frac{1}{2}(x \# y+N(e ; x) y+N(e ; y) x-N(e ; x ; y) e)
$$

defines a Jordan algebra structure on $V$ and

$$
x^{\bullet 3}-N(e ; x) x^{\bullet 2}+N(x ; e) x-N(x) e=0, \quad \forall x \in V
$$

## How to connect Cartan-Münzner eqs with Jordan algebras

Let us drop the second (harmonictiy) equation. Then

Theorem (V.T., J. of Algebra, 2014). There is a natural correspondence between

- cubic solutions of $|\nabla u(x)|^{2}=9|x|^{4}$, and
- rank 3 formally real semisimple Jordan algebras
such that congruent solutions corresponds to isomorphic Jordan algebras.
Proof. Let $V=\operatorname{CMA}(u)$, then $u(x)=\frac{1}{6}\left\langle x^{2}, x\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle x^{2}, x^{2}\right\rangle=36|x|^{4}$. Let $W=\mathbb{R} \oplus V$ and define

$$
N(\boldsymbol{x})=x_{0}^{3}-\frac{3}{2} x_{0}|x|^{2}+\frac{1}{6 \sqrt{2}}\left\langle x^{2}, x\right\rangle, \quad \boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{0}, x\right) .
$$

Then $\boldsymbol{e}=(1,0)$ is a base point: $N(\boldsymbol{e})=1$, and the polarization yields:

$$
\begin{array}{rlll} 
& & N(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{y}) & =3 x_{0}^{2} y_{0}-3 x_{0}\langle x, y\rangle-\frac{3}{2}|x|^{2} y_{0}+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left\langle x^{2}, y\right\rangle \\
\Rightarrow & N(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{e}) & =3 x_{0}^{2}-\frac{3}{2}|x|^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad N(\boldsymbol{e} ; \boldsymbol{x})=3 x_{0} \\
\Rightarrow & T(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) & =N(\boldsymbol{e} ; \boldsymbol{x}) N(\boldsymbol{e} ; \boldsymbol{y})-N(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{y} ; \boldsymbol{e})=3\left(x_{0} y_{0}+\langle x, y\rangle\right)=3\langle\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}\rangle \\
\Rightarrow & \boldsymbol{x}^{\#} & =\left(x_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}|x|^{2}, \frac{1}{6 \sqrt{2}} x^{2}-x_{0} x\right) \\
\Rightarrow & \left(\boldsymbol{x}^{\#}\right)^{\#} & =N(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{x} \quad \Rightarrow \quad N(\boldsymbol{x}) \text { is admissible }
\end{array}
$$

## An alternative approach

Let $V=\operatorname{CMA}(u)$. Then the defining relation and the subsequent polarizations are:

$$
\left\langle x^{2}, x^{2}\right\rangle=36|x|^{4} \quad \Rightarrow \quad x^{3}=|x|^{2} x \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2 L_{x}^{2}+L_{x^{2}}=2 x \otimes x+|x|^{2}
$$

If $c \neq 0$ is an idempotent of $V$ then $|c|^{2}=1$ and $2 L_{c}^{2}+L_{c}-1=2 c \otimes c$ implying

$$
\sigma\left(L_{c}\right) \subset\left\{-1, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right\} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text { the Peirce decomposition: } V=\mathbb{R} c \oplus V_{c}(-1) \oplus V_{c}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

A further polarization gives $x(c y)+c(x y)+(c x) y=c\langle x, y\rangle$, thus

$$
V_{c}\left(t_{1}\right) V_{c}\left(t_{2}\right) \perp V_{c}\left(t_{3}\right) \quad \text { unless } \quad t_{1}+t_{2}+t_{3}=0 .
$$

The fusion rules:

|  | 1 | -1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | -1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| -1 |  | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ |  |  | $1,-1$ |

- $L_{x}: V_{c}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \rightarrow V_{c}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $L_{x}^{2}=\frac{3}{4}|x|^{2}$ for any $x \in V_{c}(-1)$, hence $\left(L_{x}, V_{c}(-1), V_{c}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)$ is a symmetric Clifford system, implying that

$$
d \leq \rho(d) \quad \Rightarrow \quad d \in\{1,2,4,8\}!
$$

## Coming back to minimal cones and Hsiang algebras

## Hsiang algebras

In the metrized algebra setup, the Hsiang problem (4) becomes equivalent to the classification of all commutative Euclidean metrized algebras $V$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle x^{2}, x^{3}\right\rangle & =\langle x, x\rangle\left\langle x^{2}, x\right\rangle \\
\operatorname{tr} L_{x} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

We call a commutative algebra with positive definite associating symmetric bilinear product a Hsiang algebras if the two above equations hold.

## The correspondence:

$V$ is a Hsiang algebra $\Leftrightarrow u(x)=\frac{1}{6}\left\langle x, x^{2}\right\rangle$ generates a Hsiang cubic minimal cone.

## Examples of Hsiang algebras, I

Any commutative pseudocomposition algebra, i.e. an algebra with

$$
x^{3}=|x|^{2} x, \quad \operatorname{tr} L_{x}=0
$$

is Hsiang.

Remark. Appear in diverse contexts, for instance, genetic algebras or isoparametric hypersurfaces (the hypersurfaces $M$ of the Euclidean sphere $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ whose principal curvatures are constant along $M$ ). In the $\mathrm{CMA}^{1}$ setup, the Cartan-Münzner equations for $g=3$ distinct curvatures become the pseudocomposition algebra definition:

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { r l } 
{ | \nabla u ( x ) | ^ { 2 } } & { = 9 | x | ^ { 4 } } \\
{ \Delta u ( x ) } & { = 0 }
\end{array} \Longrightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{rl}
\left\langle x^{2}, x^{2}\right\rangle & =36|x|^{4} \\
\operatorname{tr} L_{x} & =0, \quad \forall x \in V
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

The first equation is essentially equivalent to $x^{3}=36|x|^{2} x$.

## Examples of Hsiang algebras, II

Definition. A commutative metrized $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-graded algebra $V=V_{0} \oplus V_{1}$ is called polar if

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0} V_{0}=\{0\} \quad \text { and } \quad L_{x}^{2}=|x|^{2} \text { on } V_{1}, \quad \forall x \in V_{0} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

An equivalent description: start with a symmetric Clifford system $\mathscr{A} \in \operatorname{Cliff}(X, Y)$, i.e. symmetric matrices $\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{q}\right\}$ with $A_{i}^{2}=I$ and

$$
A_{i} A_{j}+A_{j} A_{i}=0, \quad i \neq j
$$

The well-known obstruction:

$$
q \leq 1+\rho(p)
$$

where $\rho(m)=8 a+2^{b}$, if $m=2^{4 a+b}$. odd, $0 \leq b \leq 3$ is the Hurwitz-Radon function.

## Proposition (the correspondence)

An algebra $V=V_{0} \oplus V_{1}$ is polar iff it is isomorphic to CMA of the cubic form

$$
u_{\mathscr{A}}(z)=\sum_{i=1}^{q} x_{i} \cdot y^{t} A_{i} y, \quad z=(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{q} \times \mathbb{R}^{2 p}
$$

The correspondence is a bijection between isomoprhy classes and the classes of geometrically equivalent Clifford systems.

## How to classify?

Definition. A Hsiang algebra $V$ similar to a polar algebra is said to be of Clifford type; otherwise it is called exceptional.


## The harmonicity

## Theorem 1

Any non-trivial Hsiang algebra $V$ is harmonic, i.e. $\operatorname{tr} L_{x}=0$ for all $x \in V$. In particular,

- In any Hsiang algebra

$$
\left\langle x^{2}, x^{3}\right\rangle=-\frac{2}{3} \lambda\left\langle x, x^{2}\right\rangle|x|^{2}
$$

for some $\lambda<0$.

- All idempotents $c$ have the same length: $|c|=\sqrt{-\frac{3}{2 \lambda}}$.


## Definition

A Hsiang algebra is called normalized if $\lambda=-2$ (i.e. $|c|^{2}=\frac{3}{4}$ ). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle x^{2}, x^{3}\right\rangle=\frac{4}{3}\langle x, x\rangle\left\langle x, x^{2}\right\rangle \\
& x x^{3}+\frac{1}{4} x^{2} x^{2}-|x|^{2} x^{2}-\frac{2}{3}\left\langle x^{2}, x\right\rangle x=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Peirce decomposition

- Let $c \in \mathscr{I}(V)$ and $V_{c}(t)=\operatorname{ker}\left(L_{c}-t I\right)$, then $V_{c}(1)=\mathbb{R} c$ and

$$
V=\mathbb{R} c \oplus V_{c}(-1) \oplus V_{c}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \oplus V_{c}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

- The Peirce dimensions

$$
n_{1}(c)=\operatorname{dim} V_{c}(-1), \quad n_{2}(c)=\operatorname{dim} V_{c}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad n_{3}(c)=\operatorname{dim} V_{c}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{3}(c)=2 n_{1}(c)+n_{2}(c)-2 \\
& 3 n_{1}(c)+2 n_{2}(c)-1=\operatorname{dim} V=n
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, any of $n_{i}(c)$ completely determines two others.

## Examples.

- If $V$ is a polar algebra then $\left(n_{1}(c), n_{2}(c)\right)=\left(\operatorname{dim} V_{0}-1, \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} V_{1}-\operatorname{dim} V_{0}+2\right)$.
- If $V$ is a pseudocomposition algebra then $\left(n_{1}(c), n_{2}(c)\right)=\left(\frac{1+\operatorname{dim} V}{3}, 0\right)$.


## The Peirce decomposition

## Proposition 1

Setting $V_{0}=V_{c}(1), \quad V_{1}=V_{c}(-1), \quad V_{2}=V_{c}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad V_{3}=V_{c}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ we have

|  | $V_{0}$ | $V_{1}$ | $V_{2}$ | $V_{3}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $V_{0}$ | $V_{0}$ | $V_{1}$ | $V_{2}$ | $V_{3}$ |
| $V_{1}$ | $V_{1}$ | $V_{0}$ | $V_{3}$ | $V_{2} \oplus V_{3}$ |
| $V_{2}$ | $V_{2}$ | $V_{3}$ | $V_{0} \oplus V_{2}$ | $V_{1} \oplus V_{2}$ |
| $V_{3}$ | $V_{3}$ | $V_{2} \oplus V_{3}$ | $V_{1} \oplus V_{2}$ | $V_{0} \oplus V_{1} \oplus V_{2}$ |

In particular, $V_{0} \oplus V_{1}$ and $V_{0} \oplus V_{2}$ are subalgebras of $V$. Notice however that these subalgebras may be Hsiang subalgebras or not.

## The cubic trace identity

Traces of (powers of) multiplication operators in an algebra is an important tool to study invariant properties. We already have $\operatorname{tr} L_{x}=0$ for any $x \in V$. The following property provides an effective tool to determine the Peirce dimensions.

## Theorem 2

Any normalized Hsiang algebra satisfies the cubic trace identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} L_{x}^{3}=\left(1-n_{1}(c)\right)\left\langle x, x^{2}\right\rangle, \quad \forall c \in \mathscr{I}(V), x \in V \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, the Peirce dimensions $\left(n_{1}(c), n_{2}(c)\right)$ are similarity invariants of a general Hsiang algebra and do not depend on a particular choice of an idempotent c.

In what follows, we write $\left(n_{1}(V), n_{2}(V)\right)$, or just $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$.

## A 'rough' classification of Hsiang algebras

## Theorem 3 (A hidden Clifford algebra structure)

$$
n_{1}-1 \leq \rho\left(n_{1}+n_{2}-1\right)
$$

where $\rho$ is the Hurwitz-Radon function.

Proof. One can prove that $A(x)=\sqrt{3} L_{x}-(1+\sqrt{3})\left(L_{x} L_{c}+L_{c} L_{x}\right), x \in V_{1}$ satisfies

$$
A(x)^{2}=|x|^{2} \quad \text { on } V_{2} \oplus V_{3}
$$

which implies $A \in \operatorname{Cliff}\left(V_{1}, V_{2} \oplus V_{3}\right)$ and the desired obstruction.

## Corollary

Given $n_{2} \geq 0$, there are finitely many admissible Peirce dimensions $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$.

## A 'rough' classification of Hsiang algebras

Theorem 4 (A hidden Jordan algebra structure)
Given $c \in \mathscr{I}(V)$, let us define the new algebra structure on $\Lambda_{c}=\left(V_{0} \oplus V_{2}, \bullet\right)$ with the multiplication

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \bullet y=\frac{1}{2} x y+\langle x, c\rangle y+\langle y, c\rangle x-2\langle x y, c\rangle c . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\Lambda_{c}$ is a Euclidean Jordan algebra with unit $c^{*}=2 c$, the associative trace form $T(x ; y)=\langle x, y\rangle$ and

$$
\operatorname{rk} \Lambda_{c}=\min \left\{3, n_{2}(V)+1\right\} \leq 3
$$

Idea of the Proof: to verify that the cubic form $N(x)=\frac{1}{6}\left\langle x, x^{2}\right\rangle$ on $V_{0} \oplus V_{2}$ with a basepoint $c^{*}=2 c$ is Jordan for any $c \in \mathscr{I}(V)$ and apply the Springer-McCrimmon construction.

## A 'rough' classification of Hsiang algebras

Theorem 5 (The dichotomy of Hsiang algebras)
The following conditions are equivalent:
(1) A Hsiang algebra $V$ is exceptional
(2) The Jordan algebra $V_{c}(1) \oplus V_{c}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ is simple for some $c$
(3) The Jordan algebra $V_{c}(1) \oplus V_{c}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ is simple for all $c$
(4) The quadratic form $x \rightarrow \operatorname{tr} L_{x}^{2}$ has a single eigenvalue and $n_{2}(V) \neq 2$

## A 'rough' classification of Hsiang algebras

Combining Theorem 3 and Theorem 5, one obtains

## Corollary

There are at most 24 classes of exceptional Hsiang algebras. For any such an algebras $n_{2} \in\{0,5,8,14,26\}$ and the possible corresponding Peirce dimensions are

| $n$ | 2 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 26 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 21 | 15 | 18 | 21 | 24 | 30 | 42 | 27 | 30 | 33 | 36 | 51 | 54 | 57 | 60 | 72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n_{1}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| $n_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 |

The cells in blue color represent non-realizable Peirce dimensions and the cells in gold color represent unsettled cases

The above dimensions come from the possible solutions of the Hurwitz-Radon obstruction in Theorem 3 if $n_{2}=0,5,8,14,26$. The pink-color dimensions are not realizable (it follows form a finer, tetrad representation, see Example 2 above for en example of a tetrad, and Theorem 7 below).

A key question: Which Peirce dimensions in the above table are indeed realizable?

## A 'rough' classification of Hsiang algebras: the existence

| $n$ | 2 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 26 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 21 | 15 | 18 | 21 | 24 | 30 | 42 | 27 | 30 | 33 | 36 | 51 | 54 | 57 | 60 | 72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n_{1}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| $n_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 |

## White dimensions are realizable

- If $n_{2}=0$ then $n_{2} \in\{2,5,8,14,26\}$. The corresponding Hsiang algebras are $V^{\mathrm{FS}}(u), u=\frac{1}{6}\left\langle z, z^{2}\right\rangle, V=\mathscr{H}_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{d}\right) \ominus \mathbb{R} e, d=0,1,2,4,8$.
- If $n_{1}=0$ then $n_{2} \in\{5,8,14\}$. The corresponding Hsiang algebras are $V^{\mathrm{FS}}(u)$, $\frac{1}{12}\left\langle z^{2}, 3 \bar{z}-z\right\rangle$, where $z \rightarrow \bar{z}$ is the natural involution on $V=\mathscr{H}_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{d}\right), d=2,4,8$.
- If $n_{1}=1$ then $n_{2} \in\{5,8,14,26\}$. The corresponding Hsiang algebras are $V^{\mathrm{FS}}(u)$, $u(z)=\operatorname{Re}\left\langle z, z^{2}\right\rangle$, where $z \in V=\mathscr{H}_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{d}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}, d=1,2,4,8$.
- If $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=(4,5)$ then $V=V^{\mathrm{FS}}(u), u=\frac{1}{6}\left\langle z, z^{2}\right\rangle$ on $\mathscr{H}_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{8}\right) \ominus \mathscr{H}_{3}\left(\mathbb{K}_{1}\right)$


## Towards a finer classification: a tetrad decomposition

A quadruple of idempotents as in Example 2 on page 17 is called a tetrad, see picture below


Here $w_{i}$ are 2-nilpotents. Remarkably, for each vertex $c_{i}$, the adjacent $w_{\alpha}$ are the primitive idempotents in the corresponding Jordan algebra $V_{c_{i}}(1) \oplus V_{c_{i}}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ such that $2 c_{i}$ is the Jordan algebra unit and $2 c_{i}=\sum_{\text {adjacent }} w_{\alpha}$ is the Jordan frame.

## Towards a finer classification: a tetrad decomposition

## Theorem 6

$$
V=S^{1} \oplus S^{2} \oplus S^{3} \oplus M^{1} \oplus M^{2} \oplus M^{3}
$$

where $M^{i}:=V_{w_{i}}(0) \cap V_{w_{j}}(0)^{\perp} \cap V_{w_{k}}(0)^{\perp}$ and $S^{i}:=V_{w_{i}}(0)^{\perp} \cap V_{w_{j}}(0) \cap V_{w_{k}}(0)$. If $V$ is an exceptional Hsiang algebra with $n_{2}=3 d+2, d \in\{1,2,4,8\}$ then

- $M^{\alpha}$ is a null-subalgebra, $\operatorname{dim} M_{\alpha}=n_{1}+1$,
- $S^{\alpha}=S_{\alpha} \oplus S_{-\alpha}, \operatorname{dim} S_{ \pm \alpha}=d$.
- any 'vertex-adjacent' triple $S_{\alpha}, S_{\beta}, S_{\gamma}$ forms a triality:

$$
S_{\alpha} S_{\beta}=S_{\gamma}, \quad\left|x_{\alpha} x_{\beta}\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left|x_{\alpha}\right|^{2}\left|x_{\beta}\right|^{2}
$$



## Fusion rules of a tetrad

|  | $E$ | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | $S_{-3}$ | $S_{-2}$ | $S_{-1}$ | $M_{1}$ | $M_{2}$ | $M_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E$ | $E$ | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | $S_{-3}$ | $S_{-2}$ | $S_{-1}$ | $M_{2} \oplus M_{3}$ | $M_{1} \oplus M_{3}$ | $M_{1} \oplus M_{2}$ |
| $S_{1}$ |  | $\mathbb{R} w_{1}$ | $S_{3}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{-2}$ | $S_{-3}$ | $M_{1}$ | $S_{-1} \oplus D_{1}$ | $M_{1}$ | $M_{1}$ |
| $S_{2}$ |  |  | $\mathbb{R} w_{2}$ | $S_{1}$ | $S_{-1}$ | $M_{2}$ | $S_{-3}$ | $M_{2}$ | $S_{-2} \oplus D_{2}$ | $M_{2}$ |
| $S_{3}$ |  |  |  | $\mathbb{R} w_{3}$ | $M_{3}$ | $S_{-1}$ | $S_{-2}$ | $M_{3}$ | $M_{3}$ | $S_{-3} \oplus D_{3}$ |
| $S_{-3}$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathbb{R} w_{3}$ | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $M_{3}$ | $M_{3}$ | $S_{3} \oplus D_{-3}$ |
| $S_{-2}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbb{R} w_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | $M_{2}$ | $S_{2} \oplus D_{-2}$ | $M_{2}$ |
| $S_{-1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbb{R} w_{1}$ | $S_{1} \oplus D_{-1}$ | $M_{1}$ | $M_{1}$ |
| $M_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | $S^{1} \oplus S^{2} \oplus M^{3}$ | $S^{1} \oplus S^{3} \oplus M^{2}$ |
| $M_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | $S^{2} \oplus S^{3} \oplus M^{1}$ |
| $M_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |

Table:

## Towards a finer classification: a tetrad decomposition

Define $T^{\alpha}:=\operatorname{Span}\left[S^{\alpha} S^{\alpha}\right]$. Then

## Theorem 7

- $T^{\alpha} \subset M^{\alpha}$
- $T^{\alpha} \cong T^{\beta}$
- $T^{\alpha}$ admits a structure of a commutative real division algebra, in particular, $\tau(V):=\operatorname{dim} T^{\alpha} \in\{1,2\}$
- If $d>n_{1}$ then $\tau(V)=n_{1}$.
- If $n_{1} \geq 1$ and $d \geq \rho\left(n_{1}\right)-1$ then $\tau(V)=1$.
- If $\tau(V)=1$ then $n_{1} \equiv 1 \bmod 2$.
- There is no exceptional Hsiang algebras with the blue Peirce dimensions.

| $n$ | 2 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 26 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 21 | 15 | 18 | 21 | 24 | 30 | 42 | 27 | 30 | 33 | 36 | 51 | 54 | 57 | 60 | 72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n_{1}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| $d$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
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